

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/582,780	CRAVEN-BARTLE ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
QUAN-ZHEN WANG	2629	

**All Participants:**

(1) QUAN-ZHEN WANG.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kay Hill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2010

**Time:** 1:05 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner offered amendments to the specification to remove unnecessary references to the claims as originally filed since these had become incorrect in the course of amendments to the claims.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. R. R./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)